

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/93900>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-06 and may be subject to change.

## INITIAL DATA RELEASE OF THE *KEPLER*-INT SURVEY<sup>†</sup>

S. GREISS<sup>1</sup>, B. T. GÄNSICKE<sup>1</sup>, D. T. H. STEEGHS<sup>1</sup>, E. L. MARTÍN<sup>2</sup>, P. J. GROOT<sup>3</sup>, M. J. IRWIN<sup>4</sup>, E. GONZÁLEZ-SOLARES<sup>4</sup>,  
 R. GREIMEL<sup>5</sup>, C. KNIGGE<sup>6</sup>, R. H. ØSTENSEN<sup>7</sup>, K. VERBEEK<sup>3</sup>, J. E. DREW<sup>8</sup>, J. DRAKE<sup>9</sup>, P. G. JONKER<sup>3, 9, 10</sup>, V. RIPEPI<sup>11</sup>,  
 S. SCARINGI<sup>3</sup>, J. SOUTHWORTH<sup>12</sup>, M. STILL<sup>13, 14</sup>, N. J. WRIGHT<sup>9</sup>, THE IPHAS/UVEX COLLABORATION

*To be submitted to A.J.*

### ABSTRACT

This paper describes the first data release of the *Kepler*-INT Survey (KIS), that covers a 116 deg<sup>2</sup> region of the Cygnus and Lyra constellations. The *Kepler* field is the target of the most intensive search for transiting planets to date. Despite the fact that the *Kepler* mission provides superior time series photometry, with an enormous impact on all areas of stellar variability, its field lacks optical photometry complete to the confusion limit of the Kepler instrument necessary for selecting various classes of targets. For this reason, we follow the observing strategy and data reduction method used in the IPHAS and UVEX galactic plane surveys in order to produce a deep optical survey of the *Kepler* field. This initial release concerns data taken between May and August 2011, using the Isaac Newton Telescope on the island of La Palma. Four broadband filters were used, *U, g, r, i*, as well as one narrowband one, H $\alpha$ , reaching down to a limit of  $\sim 20^{\text{th}}$  mag in the Vega system. Observations covering  $\sim 50$  deg<sup>2</sup> passed our quality control thresholds and constitute this first data release. We derive a global photometric calibration by placing the KIS magnitudes as close as possible to the *Kepler* Input Catalog (KIC) ones. The initial data release catalogue containing around 6 million sources from all the good photometric fields is available for download from the KIS webpage<sup>†</sup>.

*Subject headings:* surveys - stars: general, emission-line - catalogues - techniques: photometric

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We present an initial data release of the *Kepler*-INT Survey (KIS). This paper describes optical observations carried out on the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT), covering about one half of the *Kepler* field down to  $\sim 20^{\text{th}}$  magnitude. A short description of the *Kepler* mission and the INT is given in this Section of the paper. Section 2 describes the INT observations and data products,

while Section 3 explains the photometric calibration of the data using the *Kepler* Input Catalog (KIC, Brown et al. 2011). In Section 4, we discuss our results and provide a description of the catalogue in Section 5.

#### 1.1. *Kepler* mission

The *Kepler* mission's (Borucki et al. 2010) main goal is to discover Earth-size planets within the habitable zones of Sun-like stars. NASA's *Kepler* spacecraft, which was launched in March 2009, contains a differential broadband optical (4,200 - 9,000Å) CCD array with a wide field of view (FoV) of 116 deg<sup>2</sup>, mounted on a modified 0.95m Schmidt telescope continuously observing a region in the Cygnus and Lyra constellations. Due to the onboard storage and telemetry bandwidth limitation, only 170,000 sources, out of the millions present within the FoV, can be observed and downloaded to Earth at any given time. Therefore, the targets must be pre-selected prior to the observations.

*Kepler* provides uninterrupted time series photometry that is superior to any previous ground-based study. Although *Kepler* was designed for the detection of exoplanets, its high-quality light curves hold an enormous potential for other astrophysical domains such as asteroseismology (Chaplin et al. 2010), stellar activity (Basri et al. 2011), star spot monitoring (Llama et al. 2012), eclipsing and close binary systems (Prša et al. 2011; Coughlin et al. 2011; Bloemen et al. 2011), gyrochronology (Meibom et al. 2011), accreting white dwarfs (Fontaine et al. 2011; Still et al. 2010; Wood et al. 2011), the study of RR Lyrae stars (Benkő et al. 2010; Nemec et al. 2011) as well as systems showing stochastic behaviour in the variability of their fluxes (Mushotzky et al. 2011; Scaringi et al. 2012). *Kepler* data has also enabled the first deter-

s.greiss@warwick.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Astronomy and Astrophysics group, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, Coventry, U.K.

<sup>2</sup> INTA-CSIC Centro de Astrobiología, Carretera de Ajalvir km 4, 28550 Torrejón de Ardoz, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O.Box 9010, 6500 GL, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Cambridge Astronomy Survey Unit, Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, CB3 0HA, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>5</sup> Institut für Physik, Karl-Franzen Universität Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

<sup>6</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, Hampshire, SO17 1BJ, U.K.

<sup>7</sup> Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>8</sup> Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, U.K.

<sup>9</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>10</sup> SRON, Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Sorbonnelaan 2, 3584 CA, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>11</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, via Moiariello 16, Naples, I-80131, Italy

<sup>12</sup> Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Newcastle-under-Lyme, ST5 5BG, U.K.

<sup>13</sup> NASA Ames Research Center, M/S 244-40, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

<sup>14</sup> Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, Inc., 560 Third St. West, Sonoma, CA 95476, USA

<sup>†</sup> The catalogue is available via [www.astro.warwick.ac.uk/research/kis/](http://www.astro.warwick.ac.uk/research/kis/). MAST availability is also planned.

mination of radial velocity amplitudes of binary systems through Doppler boosting (van Kerkwijk et al. 2010).

*Kepler* operates two types of observation modes: the short (one minute) and long (30 minutes) cadence modes. The Guest Observer (GO) program offers a yearly opportunity for the observation of 5,000 long cadence targets per quarter and 40 short cadence targets per month, through a peer-reviewed competition, which is open for all astrophysical domains. Every 3 months, the *Kepler* mission also offers the opportunity for a few dozen targets to be observed through Director’s Discretionary Time (DDT) Proposals. Finally, every quarter, the *Kepler* Asteroseismology Science Consortium (KASC) can bid more than  $\sim 1,700$  targets in order to study stellar pulsations.

It is therefore clear that the short cadence mode slots are very limited, thus a target must be well studied from the ground in order to justify required time with *Kepler*. In order to observe candidate planet hosts, mainly G-M type main-sequence stars, the *Kepler* team created the Stellar Classification Project (SCP), with a main goal to prevent the selection of non-main-sequence stars, by providing important stellar parameters (radius, effective temperature, apparent magnitude, etc) of the sources in the *Kepler* FoV. A photometric study of the *Kepler* field, mainly using *griz* broadband filters was produced and stored in what is known as the *Kepler* Input Catalog (Brown et al. 2011). Since the main purpose of the KIC was to pre-select solar-like stars, the reliable depth of this survey is  $g \sim 16$  mag, and there was no need to include a filter bluer than the *g*-band. However, it is clear that many fainter objects within the *Kepler* FoV, which can not be studied using KIC data, are of interest to non-exoplanet science such as cataclysmic variables (Wood et al. 2011), pulsating white dwarfs (Østensen et al. 2011a; Hermes et al. 2011) and active galactic nuclei (Mushotzky et al. 2011).

The GO and KASC programs show that there is a large interest in fainter and bluer objects. In order to pre-select other, rarer types of targets such as hot, young, or active stars, white dwarfs or subdwarfs, and accreting objects, a deeper optical survey of the *Kepler* field, including a bluer than *g* filter, is required. Also, the addition of an  $H\alpha$  filter would be useful to detect emission line objects, mainly accreting ones, as well as strong  $H\alpha$  deficit sources such as hydrogen-rich white dwarfs. Therefore, the INT Photometric  $H\alpha$  Survey of the Northern Galactic Plane (IPHAS, Drew et al. 2005) and the UV-Excess Survey of the Northern Galactic Plane (UVEX, Groot et al. 2009) collaborations made use of their available data reduction pipeline and observation strategy to obtain a homogeneous *Ugriz* and  $H\alpha$  catalogue of the *Kepler* FoV, down to  $\sim 20^{\text{th}}$  mag in all five filters. All magnitudes are given in the Vega system (Morgan et al. 1953). We have named this effort the Kepler-INT Survey (KIS). KIS should be useful not only because it can identify UV-excess objects and  $H\alpha$  emitters, but also because it goes much deeper than KIC. Even though other collaborations are also conducting optical surveys of the *Kepler* field, such as the UBV Photometric Survey of the *Kepler* field (Everett et al. 2012), only KIS provides the critical deep *U*-band and  $H\alpha$  imaging.

TABLE 1  
FILTER PARAMETERS OF INT OBSERVATIONS (GONZÁLEZ-SOLARES ET AL. 2008; GROOT ET AL. 2009)

Filters	Central wavelength (Å)	FWHM (Å)
<i>U</i>	3581	638
<i>g</i>	4846	1285
<i>r</i>	6240	1347
<i>i</i>	7743	1519
$H\alpha$	6568	95

## 1.2. Survey imaging with the Isaac Newton Telescope

The 2.5m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) is located in the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory on La Palma. The Wide Field Camera (WFC), mounted in its prime focus, is an optical imager consisting of 4 anti-reflective-coated  $2048 \times 4096$  pixel CCDs, arranged in an L-shape. It has a pixel scale of 0.333 arcsec and a field of view of  $0.29 \text{ deg}^2$  (González-Solares et al. 2008).

Four broadband filters (*Ugriz*) and one narrowband filter ( $H\alpha$ ) were used to obtain the INT data. The filter characteristics are provided in Table 1. Unlike *g*, *r* and *i* which are SDSS-like filters, the *U*-band is a non-standard *U* filter and it is affected by the CCD detector response dropping at its blue edge. For more information on the *U* filter, see Verbeek et al. (2012).

The wealth of the available IPHAS and UVEX data have been used to develop selection methods to detect objects of special interest such as  $H\alpha$  emitters (Witham et al. 2006), cataclysmic variables (Witham et al. 2007), planetary nebulae (Viironen et al. 2009), symbiotic stars (Corradi et al. 2008), early-A stars (Drew et al. 2008), extremely red stellar objects, including mainly Asymptotic Giant Branch stars, and S-type stars (Wright et al. 2008, 2009), very low-mass accreting stars and brown dwarfs (Valdivielso et al. 2009) and UV-Excess sources (Verbeek et al. 2012). Candidates were primarily selected through the use of colour-colour diagrams. Their findings and selection methods were then confirmed using spectroscopic data. Surveys such as IPHAS and UVEX have enabled the development of automated searches of a large number of unusual and ‘exotic’ objects.

## 2. INT OBSERVATIONS AND DATA

### 2.1. Observations

As our data processing recipe is identical to that of the IPHAS and UVEX surveys, we refer to Drew et al. (2005), González-Solares et al. (2008) and Groot et al. (2009) for details. The observing strategy consists of dividing the entire survey region into fields, each of them corresponding to the area of the WFC’s FoV. A five percent overlap is included between adjacent pointings. Also, in order to cover the gaps between the four detectors, comprising  $\sim 12 \text{ arcmin}^2$ , each field is observed in pairs with an offset of 5 arcmin North and 5 arcmin East between the pointings. This leads to two detections of most objects observed.

In order to balance the survey progress with the calibration quality of the data, approximately five ob-

TABLE 2  
MORPHOLOGICAL FLAGS

Flags	Definition
-9	saturated
-8	poor match
-7	contains bad pixels
-1	stellar
-2	probably stellar
-3	compact but probably not stellar
1	non-stellar (e.g. a galaxy)
0	no detection

servations of standards fields are taken throughout each night. These observations allow us to derive accurate zero-point magnitudes (ZPs) for each broadband filter per night without using too much of the allocated time on the telescope. The zero-point RMS of each night allows one to assess whether a night is considered ‘photometric’ (González-Solares et al. 2008).

## 2.2. Data

The data processing is described in detail in Section 3 of González-Solares et al. (2008). The final data products consist of images and band-merged catalogues with equatorial positions tied to 2MASS (Two Micron All Sky Survey, Skrutskie et al. 2006), Vega magnitudes and errors in all five filters and morphological flags (see Table 2). Further information on each detected object, such as CCD pixel coordinates in each waveband and the CCD in which the source was detected, are also provided in the catalogues. The astrometric precision of the end product is better than 100 mas across all four CCDs (González-Solares et al. 2008).

In the UVEX data reduction pipeline, the  $U$ -band zero-point magnitudes are tied to the  $g$ -band ones with a fixed offset of  $(ZP_U - ZP_g) = 2.1$  mag, similarly to the case of the  $H\alpha$  ZPs in IPHAS (Drew et al. 2005) which are tied to the  $r$ -band via a fixed offset of 3.14 mag. The nightly  $g$ -band zero-points are derived from the standards observed throughout each night. However, in the KIS, we depart from UVEX in the  $U$ -band by using actual standard star ZPs to obtain  $U$ -band magnitudes, in the same way as we do for  $g$ ,  $r$  and  $i$  (see Section 3 for more details). The  $H\alpha$  zero-points for each nights remain tied to the  $r$ -band ones, similarly to the way they are calculated in IPHAS (Drew et al. 2005), since there is no  $H\alpha$  standard available.

A bubble in the  $U$  filter was discovered that was visibly affecting a corner of the  $U$ -band images, and a red leak is also known to exist in the filter (Verbeek et al. 2012). The bubble was fixed on the 15<sup>th</sup> of June 2011. However, Calima - dust winds which originate in the Saharan desert - was strongly present during that period of the observations. This affected the pre-June 20<sup>th</sup>  $U$ -band data in particular.

## 2.3. Quality control flags

During the 2011 observing season, a total of 742 INT pointings, consisting of fields and offsets, were observed. However, not all of them pass the quality control threshold set for this survey. We only select fields which

TABLE 3  
MEDIAN OF  $\Delta g$ ,  $\Delta r$  AND  $\Delta i$ , WHERE  $\Delta\{filter\}$  IS THE OFFSET BETWEEN THE KIC AND KIS MAGNITUDES (SEE SECTION 3)

$\Delta g$	$\Delta r$	$\Delta i$
0.0147	0.0037	0.0464

were observed under ‘clear’ conditions where the RMS on the derived nightly zero-points must be smaller than 0.10 mag. Additional quality control tests related to the observing conditions include selecting pointings which have  $r$ -band seeing  $< 2$  arcsec and  $r$  and  $g$ -bands sky background values  $< 2000$  ADUs, to remove observations done too close to the moon. The distribution of seeing in the  $r$ -band, for all 742 pointings, is shown in Figure 1, which clearly indicates that some pointings were indeed observed under non-ideal conditions. Also, we use an additional measurement, the ellipticity, which is a detector-averaged value that flags any tracking and focussing issues of the telescope that were possibly encountered on a given night. We keep fields with mean  $r$ -band ellipticity values below 0.2 and any larger value would trigger a re-observation.

Given the existence of the well-calibrated KIC catalog, which has served as the principal survey for selecting *Kepler* targets, we decided to tie our absolute photometric calibration to the KIC broad-band magnitudes. We make use of the KIC to set an additional quality control criterion. We calculate the difference between the KIC magnitudes and the KIS magnitudes for sources with  $g$ -band magnitudes between 13 and 15<sup>th</sup> mag. These limits were chosen because KIS magnitudes smaller than  $\sim 12^{\text{th}}$  mag become much less reliable and the photometric accuracy of KIC deteriorates below  $\sim 16^{\text{th}}$  mag. More details on this can be found in the following Section. We plot the distribution of the offsets in the  $g$ ,  $r$  and  $i$  bands ( $\Delta g$ ,  $\Delta r$  and  $\Delta i$ ). The median values of these offsets, corresponding to the centre of their distributions (see Figure 2), are given in Table 3. In addition to the quality tests discussed previously, we select fields which have a median offset in each waveband within  $\pm 0.2$  mag of the values given in Table 3. This only rejects  $\sim 5\%$  of our pointings.

Out of all 742 observed fields, 513 pointings are classified as ‘good’, which is equivalent to  $\sim 70\%$  of the total number of pointings observed so far. In Figure 3, we plot the centres of these ‘good’ INT pointings on the *Kepler* FoV. We indicate the boundaries of the sky footprints of the CCDs on the *Kepler* satellite.

## 3. PHOTOMETRIC CALIBRATION

For the KIS catalogue, we start by calibrating  $Ugri$  to the standards observed each night by taking the average nightly ZPs in each filter, while the  $H\alpha$  ZP is tied to the nightly  $r$ -band ZP by a fixed offset. This calibration can introduce ZP errors if the night is not ‘clear’ since all pointings in a given night employ the same ZPs. By placing our ZPs as close to the KIC ones as possible, we can improve our photometric calibration on a pointing by pointing basis rather than only for a full night’s worth of data.




FIG. 1.—  $r$ -band seeing in arcseconds of all INT pointings. Fields observed under seeing conditions worse than 2 arcsec were not included in the initial data release catalogue.




FIG. 2.— Distribution of  $\Delta g$ ,  $\Delta r$  and  $\Delta i$ , for all pointings, where  $\Delta\{filter\}$  is the offset between the KIC and KIS magnitudes (see Section 3). As one can see, the offsets rarely exceed 5%. There are, however, a few outliers with offsets larger than -0.3 or +0.4 magnitude that fall outside the plotted range.

We cross-matched the INT data with the *Kepler* Input Catalog (KIC), containing over 13 million detected objects. A full explanation of the catalogue production can be found in Brown et al. (2011), but we provide a brief description of it here. The KIC photometric data was placed as close as possible to the Sloan photometric system (Brown et al. 2011), by selecting 8 fields outside the




FIG. 3.— INT coverage of *Kepler* fields. The red circles correspond to the centre of the INT pointings which were classified as observed during ‘clear’ conditions. The boundaries of the sky footprints of the CCDs on the *Kepler* satellite are also shown in black.

*Kepler* FoV, which overlap with SDSS DR1 (Stoughton et al. 2002) and which are used as photometric standards. Spanning a wide range of RA around the *Kepler* field, 316 primary standard stars were chosen. Each night, standards were taken on an hourly basis in order to calculate the transformations between the KIC and SDSS magnitudes. However, it is important to note that out of the  $\sim 13$  million detected objects, less than 3 million have reliable  $g$ -band magnitudes. The rest are either fainter than  $16^{th}$  mag or are not provided with a magnitude value but only a position.

A specially designed pipeline was used to reduce the image data to catalogues of star positions and apparent magnitudes. The photometric calibrations were done using the time-averaged extinction-corrected magnitudes from the standards stars (Brown et al. 2011). The photometric precision of the KIC sources is expected to be  $\sim 1.5\%$ .

Since the KIC was created mainly for selecting solar-type stars brighter than  $16^{th}$  mag, its photometry can only be considered reliable for sources brighter than this limit. KIS however is not reliable for objects brighter than  $\sim 12^{th}$  mag so we only cross-match KIS with KIC objects having  $g$ -band magnitudes between 13 and  $15^{th}$  mag. Given that the astrometry of both catalogues are based on well-resolved CCD data, we used a matching radius of 1 arcsec. Additional information on the KIC astrometry can be found on the *Kepler* webpage\*. Since the KIC photometry is based on the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983) and the INT data is all in the Vega magnitude system, we converted the KIC data to the Vega system using the transformations from González-Solares

\*<http://keplergo.arc.nasa.gov/Documentation.shtml>




FIG. 4.— Difference between  $u$  from SDSS (AB system) and  $U$  from KIS (Vega system) against  $(u - g)$  from SDSS (AB system), showing that the transformations from AB to Vega (and vice-versa) are more colour-dependant when looking at non-main-sequence stars. The red points correspond to the medians of  $(u - U)$  over 0.1 magnitude bins in  $(u - g)[AB]$ . The median of  $(u - U)$  is 0.825, a value close to the fixed term found in Equations 1. The data point with no error bars simply mean that only one data point was used to determine the median.

et al. (2011):

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{\text{WFC}} &= u_{\text{SDSS}} - 0.833 - 0.009 \times (u_{\text{SDSS}} - g_{\text{SDSS}}) \\
 g_{\text{WFC}} &= g_{\text{SDSS}} + 0.060 - 0.136 \times (g_{\text{SDSS}} - r_{\text{SDSS}}) \\
 r_{\text{WFC}} &= r_{\text{SDSS}} - 0.144 + 0.006 \times (g_{\text{SDSS}} - r_{\text{SDSS}}) \\
 i_{\text{WFC}} &= i_{\text{SDSS}} - 0.411 - 0.073 \times (r_{\text{SDSS}} - i_{\text{SDSS}}) \\
 z_{\text{WFC}} &= z_{\text{SDSS}} - 0.525 - 0.013 \times (i_{\text{SDSS}} - z_{\text{SDSS}}) \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

We stress that these transformations are reliable for main-sequence stars but are not to be trusted for blue objects which have a small  $(U - g)$  colour. In order to verify this, we cross-match the KIS data with Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, Loveday 2002) and select matches with  $r$ -band magnitudes ranging from 15 to 18 mag. Only  $\sim 25\%$  of the KIS pointings overlap with SDSS and therefore this test is only used to determine the range over which these transformations are valid. The transformations taken from González-Solares et al. (2011) were derived using a more robust algorithm.

In our test, we plot the difference between SDSS and KIS magnitudes in  $U$  against  $(u - g)$  colours from SDSS (see Figure 4). We bin the data in colour bins of 0.1 mag and calculate the median of the difference between the SDSS and KIS magnitudes. These values correspond to the red circles. The error bars are the standard deviations of the binned data. As we can see, the transformations provided by González-Solares et al. (2011) are verified in the plots for objects within  $1 < (u - g) [AB] < 3$ . In both the red and blue ends of the plots, the data points do not follow the linear fit for the main locus of stars.

The KIC contains  $g$ ,  $r$  and  $i$  - band magnitudes for all sources. For these bands, our photometric corrections are thus simply:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta g &= g_{\text{WFC}} - g_{\text{KIC}} \\
 \Delta r &= r_{\text{WFC}} - r_{\text{KIC}} \\
 \Delta i &= i_{\text{WFC}} - i_{\text{KIC}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The distributions of these offsets are shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, the values of these offsets were typically a few percent, rarely exceeding 5% for fields passing the quality control threshold. These values are provided in

the final catalogue of the *Kepler*-INT Survey.

In order to have a more accurate calibration, we calculated these offsets for each WFC CCD separately. In general, all four CCDs behaved the same way. For each INT *Kepler* field, we calculate the median of the offsets of all matched sources in each passband for each WFC CCD (for instance,  $\text{median}(\Delta g_{\text{CCD1}})$ ,  $\text{median}(\Delta g_{\text{CCD2}})$ ,  $\text{median}(\Delta g_{\text{CCD3}})$ ,  $\text{median}(\Delta g_{\text{CCD4}})$ , and similarly for  $r$  and  $i$  bands).

As KIC lacks  $u$ -band data, the KIS  $U$ -band can not have an absolute calibration like in the case of  $g$ ,  $r$  and  $i$ . We decided to use the  $g$ -band offset also for  $U$ . In summary, to calibrate the INT photometry, we applied the following equations to the sources in each INT pointing and CCD:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U'_{\text{WFC}} &= U_{\text{WFC}} - \Delta g_{\text{CCD}\#} \\
 g'_{\text{WFC}} &= g_{\text{WFC}} - \Delta g_{\text{CCD}\#} \\
 r'_{\text{WFC}} &= r_{\text{WFC}} - \Delta r_{\text{CCD}\#} \\
 i'_{\text{WFC}} &= i_{\text{WFC}} - \Delta i_{\text{CCD}\#} \\
 H'\alpha_{\text{WFC}} &= H\alpha_{\text{WFC}} - \Delta r_{\text{CCD}\#}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the prime indicates the calibrated magnitudes.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The 513 fields that were classified as reliable and observed during ‘clear’ nights are all observed starting from the 21<sup>st</sup> of June 2011. Colour-colour diagrams helped us assess the state of the data, in order to make sure that the selected pointings do indeed behave as the Pickles and Koester model tracks predict (Drew et al. 2005; Verbeek et al. 2012). In fact, we plotted individual  $(U - g, g - r)$  and  $(r - i, r - H\alpha)$  colour-colour diagrams of each INT pointing, with Pickles main-sequence tracks as well as DA (hydrogen-rich) white dwarf track from Koester models. All the synthetic colours are available in the Vega system from Drew et al. (2005) for  $(r - i, r - H\alpha)$  colours of main-sequence stars, and Groot et al. (2009) for their  $(U - g, g - r)$  colours. The DA white dwarfs colours were taken from Verbeek et al. (2012).

#### 5. CATALOGUE DESCRIPTION

With all reliable pointings at hand, we produced the KIS initial release catalogue. We combine all the data from the 513 pointings in a single catalogue containing  $\sim 6$  million sources.

We produce two versions of the catalogue: a standard version and an extended one. A description of the columns of the catalogue is given in Table 4 and an example of a few lines taken from the standard version is shown in Table 5. It contains the positions of the sources in degrees, their magnitudes and errors, as well as their morphological classes in each waveband (see Table 2 for more details). We also give each KIS source an ID, found in the first column of the tables. It simply corresponds to the ‘KISJ’ prefix, followed by the object’s KIS coordinate given in sexagesimal notation. Finally, when a KIS object had a match in the *Kepler* Input Catalog within 1 arcsec, we added the *Kepler* ID of that match in the final column of the table. If no match was found, the *Kepler* ID is equal to 0.

The extended catalogue contains further information on each source, such as the CCD in which it was detected, their CCD pixel coordinates, the seeing, ellipticity and modified julian date in each filter and the offsets between the KIS magnitudes and KIC magnitudes which were used to calibrate the KIS catalogue. The seeing and ellipticity values given for each source are average values for the given INT pointing in which the object was detected.

Most sources had two detections, therefore the magnitude and errors provided in the catalogue are mean values of the magnitudes and errors, calculated from the magnitudes and errors of detections of the same source found in an INT and its paired field. We also compute the RMS of the magnitudes for each source in order to compare the difference between the magnitudes in both detections, within their error bars. If the value of the calculated RMS is large compared to the errors, it would reflect on short timescale variability or on non-ideal observing conditions. In the case of a single detection, the RMS is set to -1. The overlap between non-paired pointings was not taken into account for the search of duplicates, therefore the final catalogue will still contain two detections of the same source. The reason why we allow for a mean value to be calculated in this case is because the paired fields are observed one after the other, under very similar conditions.

The limiting magnitudes in each filter can be seen in Figure 5, where we plot only ‘stellar’ objects in all 5 bands. This shows that the depth of the ongoing survey is  $\sim 20^{\text{th}}$  mag in the Vega system. Sources brighter than  $\sim 12^{\text{th}}$  magnitude are saturated and their magnitudes should not be considered reliable. We also show the distribution of the number of detected sources as a function of magnitudes in Figure 6. All five filters behave similarly, with the  $U$  and  $g$ -bands going slightly deeper than  $r$ ,  $i$  and  $H\alpha$ .

In order to test the potential of the KIS catalogue to identify rare and unusual objects in the *Kepler* field, we cross-match KIS with known published sources within the *Kepler* FoV such as the pulsating subdwarfs and white dwarfs from Østensen et al. (2010, 2011b,a); Hermes et al. (2011), the cataclysmic variables (CVs) from Williams et al. (2010); Wood et al. (2011); Fontaine et al. (2011) and the active galactic nuclei (AGN) from Mushotzky et al. (2011). The objects which had matches in KIS are plotted in colour space (Figure 7). We also include a recently spectroscopically confirmed ultra-cool dwarf (R. Tata, E. L. Martín & E. Martioli poster presented at the ‘First *Kepler* Science Conference’) in the ( $r$ -  $H\alpha$ ,  $r$  -  $i$ ) colour-colour diagram of Figure 7, which did not have a  $U$ -band detection. We see that the different types of objects fall within their expected locations in colour-space. The CVs are indeed found in the ( $U$  -  $g$ ,  $g$  -  $r$ ) colour-colour diagram with ( $U$  -  $g$ ) < 0 and they also stand out as  $H\alpha$  emitters in the ( $r$  -  $H\alpha$ ,  $r$  -  $i$ ) diagram. Also, the DA white dwarfs are known to be  $H\alpha$  deficit objects, which can be seen in the bottom panel of Figure 7.

We stress once again that all magnitudes are in the Vega system and the model tracks can be found in the IPHAS and UVEX papers by Drew et al. (2005);




FIG. 5.— Limiting magnitudes in all five bands. Sources with magnitudes smaller than  $12^{\text{th}}$  mag are detected but not shown because they are not considered reliable. Also, the survey has a depth of  $\sim 20^{\text{th}}$  mag in all filters.

Groot et al. (2009); Verbeek et al. (2012). We also note that during all data analysis and manipulation steps, we always select KIS objects which have morphological classes in all five filters equal to -1, corresponding to stellar objects only. These come up to  $\sim 1.2$  million objects. We also only select sources with photometric errors smaller than 0.05 mag in all wavebands.

## 6. CONCLUSION

We obtained  $Ugri$  and  $H\alpha$  data for part of the *Kepler* field using the WFC on the INT. The data were processed in the Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit (CASU) in the same fashion as for IPHAS (Drew et al. 2005) and UVEX (Groot et al. 2009), with the exception of the  $U$ -band magnitudes, which in the KIS case were calculated using the mean ZP values from the standard stars observed each night.

The KIS magnitudes were calibrated by shifting our zero-points to match the KIC  $gri$  photometry. This way, we improved our photometric calibration on a pointing by pointing basis rather than a full night.

Of the 742 pointings obtained throughout the entire run, 513 of them passed the quality control threshold set for this survey, covering  $\sim 50 \text{ deg}^2$ . Most sources in the KIS have two detections. In such cases, we derive a






FIG. 6.— Distribution of the number of sources as a function of KIS magnitudes. The objects taken into account are the ones classified as ‘stellar’ in all five filters.

mean magnitude and error using the two values for each detected object. These average values are the ones provided in the KIS catalogue described in this document.

The initial data release KIS catalogue contains  $\sim 6$  million objects, with *Ugr*i and *H $\alpha$*  magnitudes, down to  $\sim 20^{\text{th}}$  magnitude in the Vega system. Out of those  $\sim 6$  million sources,  $\sim 1.2$  million of them are classified as ‘stellar’ in all five filters. The plan is to observe the remainder of the field in 2012, followed by a second data release containing all data.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper makes use of data collected at the Isaac Newton Telescope, operated on the island of La Palma, by the Isaac Newton Group in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos. The observations were processed by the Cambridge Astronomy Survey Unit (CASU) at the Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge. We acknowledge the use of data taken from the *Kepler* Input Catalog (KIC), as well as data taken from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey.

D. Steeghs acknowledges a STFC Advanced Fellowship.

R. H. Østensen acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC grant agreement N<sup>o</sup> 227224 (PROSPERITY), as well as from the Research Council of K.U.Leuven grant agreement GOA/2008/04.

#### REFERENCES

- Basri, G., Walkowicz, L. M., Batalha, N., et al. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 20
- Benkó, J. M., Kolenberg, K., Szabó, R., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 1585
- Bloemen, S., Marsh, T. R., Østensen, R. H., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 1787
- Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., et al. 2010, *Science*, 327, 977
- Brown, T. M., Latham, D. W., Everett, M. E., & Esquerdo, G. A. 2011, *AJ*, 142, 112
- Chaplin, W. J., Appourchaux, T., Elsworth, Y., et al. 2010, *ApJ Lett.*, 713, L169
- Corradi, R. L. M., Rodríguez-Flores, E. R., Mampaso, A., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 480, 409
- Coughlin, J. L., López-Morales, M., Harrison, T. E., Ule, N., & Hoffman, D. I. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 78
- Drew, J. E., Greimel, R., Irwin, M. J., & Sale, S. E. 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 1761
- Drew, J. E., Greimel, R., Irwin, M. J., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 362, 753
- Everett, M. E., Howell, S. B., & Kinemuchi, K. 2012, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Fontaine, G., Brassard, P., Green, E. M., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 726, 92
- González-Solares, E. A., Walton, N. A., Greimel, R., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 388, 89
- González-Solares, E. A., Irwin, M., McMahon, R. G., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 927
- Groot, P. J., Verbeek, K., Greimel, R., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 399, 323
- Hermes, J. J., Mullally, F., Østensen, R. H., et al. 2011, *ApJ Lett.*, 741, L16
- Llama, J., Jardine, M., Mackay, D. H., & Fares, R. 2012, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Loveday, J. 2002, *Contemporary Physics*, 43, 437
- Meibom, S., Barnes, S. A., Latham, D. W., et al. 2011, *ApJ Lett.*, 733, L9
- Morgan, W. W., Harris, D. L., & Johnson, H. L. 1953, *ApJ*, 118, 92
- Mushotzky, R. F., Edelson, R., Baumgartner, W., & Gandhi, P. 2011, *ApJ Lett.*, 743, L12
- Nemec, J. M., Smolec, R., Benkó, J. M., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 1022
- Oke, J. B., & Gunn, J. E. 1983, *ApJ*, 266, 713
- Østensen, R. H., Bloemen, S., Vučković, M., et al. 2011a, *ApJ Lett.*, 736, L39
- Østensen, R. H., Silvotti, R., Charpinet, S., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 1470
- . 2011b, *MNRAS*, 414, 2860
- Prša, A., Batalha, N., Slawson, R. W., et al. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 83
- Scaringi, S., Kording, E., Uttley, P., et al. 2012, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163
- Still, M., Howell, S. B., Wood, M. A., Cannizzo, J. K., & Smale, A. P. 2010, *ApJ Lett.*, 717, L113
- Stoughton, C., Lupton, R. H., Bernardi, M., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 485
- Valdivielso, L., Martín, E. L., Bouy, H., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 497, 973
- van Kerkwijk, M. H., Rappaport, S. A., Breton, R. P., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 715, 51
- Verbeek, K., de Groot, E., Groot, P. J., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 420, 1115
- Viironen, K., Greimel, R., Corradi, R. L. M., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 504, 291
- Williams, K. A., de Martino, D., Silvotti, R., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 713, 2587
- Witham, A. R., Knigge, C., Gänsicke, B. T., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 369, 581
- Witham, A. R., Knigge, C., Aungwerojwit, A., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, 382, 1158
- Wood, M. A., Still, M. D., Howell, S. B., Cannizzo, J. K., & Smale, A. P. 2011, *ApJ*, 741, 105
- Wright, N. J., Barlow, M. J., Greimel, R., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 400, 1413



Wright, N. J., Greimel, R., Barlow, M. J., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 390, 929




FIG. 7.— Colour-colour diagrams of some of the published pulsators, white dwarfs, CVs, ultra-cool dwarfs and AGNs in the *Kepler* field. The Pickles tracks are taken from Drew et al. (2005) in the lower panel, and from Groot et al. (2009) in the top panel. The red tracks in the both panels correspond to Koester models of DA WDs with constant surface gravity,  $\log g = 8$ , taken from Verbeek et al. (2012). The scatter points are stellar objects taken from the KIS catalogue.

TABLE 4  
DESCRIPTION OF COLUMNS IN KIS CATALOGUE

Column name	Description
KIS_ID .....	KIS ID containing the coordinate of the source, in sexagesimal notation.
RA .....	Right Ascension (J2000), in degrees.
Dec .....	Declination (J2000), in degrees.
mean_ <i>U</i> , mean_ <i>g</i> , mean_ <i>r</i> , mean_ <i>i</i> , mean_H $\alpha$ .....	Magnitudes of sources, given in the Vega system. In the case of two detections, the mean value is given.
<i>U</i> _err, <i>g</i> _err, <i>r</i> _err, <i>i</i> _err, H $\alpha$ _err .....	Magnitude errors. In the case of two detections, the mean error is given.
rms_ <i>U</i> *, rms_ <i>g</i> *, rms_ <i>r</i> *, rms_ <i>i</i> *, rms_H $\alpha$ * .....	Root-mean-square (rms) of magnitudes of sources with two detections. In the case of a single detection, the rms value is set to -1.
x_ <i>U</i> *, x_ <i>g</i> *, x_ <i>r</i> *, x_ <i>i</i> *, x_H $\alpha$ * .....	X pixel coordinate of source.
y_ <i>U</i> *, y_ <i>g</i> *, y_ <i>r</i> *, y_ <i>i</i> *, y_H $\alpha$ * .....	Y pixel coordinate of source.
class_ <i>U</i> , class_ <i>g</i> , class_ <i>r</i> , class_ <i>i</i> , class_H $\alpha$ .....	Morphological class of source (see Table 2).
CCD*	WFC's CCD in which the source was detected.
seeing_ <i>U</i> *, seeing_ <i>g</i> *, seeing_ <i>r</i> *, seeing_ <i>i</i> *, seeing_H $\alpha$ * .....	Average seeing of the INT pointing, given in arcsec.
ellipticity_ <i>U</i> *, ellipticity_ <i>g</i> *, ellipticity_ <i>r</i> *, ellipticity_ <i>i</i> *, ellipticity_H $\alpha$ * .....	Average ellipticity of the night.
MJD_ <i>U</i> *, MJD_ <i>g</i> *, MJD_ <i>r</i> *, MJD_ <i>i</i> *, MJD_H $\alpha$ * .....	Modified julian date of observation.
delta_ <i>U</i> *, delta_ <i>g</i> *, delta_ <i>r</i> *, delta_ <i>i</i> *, delta_H $\alpha$ * .....	Difference between KIS and KIC magnitudes applied to calibrate KIS data.
KIC_ID .....	KIC ID of source. If it does not have a KIC match within 1 arcsec, the value is set to 0.

\* These values are only available in the extended catalogue.

TABLE 5  
EXAMPLE OF LIGHT VERSION OF THE KIS CATALOGUE

KIS ID	RA	Dec	$U$	$\sigma_u$	cls $U$	$g$	$\sigma_g$	cls $g$	$r$	$\sigma_r$	cls $r$	$i$	$\sigma_i$	cls $i$	H $\alpha$	$\sigma_{H\alpha}$	cls H $\alpha$	KIC ID
KISJ183904.45+472458.6	279.768542	47.416278	20.892	0.150	-1	18.811	0.014	-1	17.754	0.000	-1	17.110	0.000	-1	0.000	0.000	0	10316732
KISJ183904.53+471612.6	279.768875	47.270167	0.000	0.000	0	21.395	0.120	-1	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	0
KISJ183904.68+471532.8	279.769500	47.259111	0.000	0.000	0	13.499	0.001	-1	12.888	0.001	-9	12.489	0.001	-1	0.000	0.000	0	0
KISJ183904.68+471625.6	279.769500	47.273778	0.000	0.000	0	21.955	0.193	-1	20.490	0.073	1	18.921	0.033	1	19.699	0.091	1	0
KISJ183904.73+473001.1	279.769708	47.500306	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	21.326	0.148	-1	19.421	0.050	-1	20.253	0.145	-1	0
KISJ183904.76+471636.7	279.769833	47.276861	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	21.789	0.219	-1	20.332	0.110	1	0.000	0.000	0	0
KISJ183904.79+472829.3	279.769958	47.474806	18.758	0.025	-1	18.042	0.000	-1	17.274	0.006	-1	16.821	0.007	-1	16.964	0.011	-1	10316736
KISJ183904.85+472027.0	279.770208	47.340833	18.010	0.014	-1	17.571	0.006	-1	16.931	0.005	-1	16.486	0.006	-1	16.623	0.009	-1	10250924
KISJ183904.88+473120.4	279.770333	47.522333	16.383	0.005	-1	0.000	0.000	0	15.481	0.002	-1	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	10382031
KISJ183904.93+472511.8	279.770542	47.419944	19.668	0.053	-1	18.530	0.011	-1	17.582	0.007	-1	16.981	0.000	-1	17.181	0.013	-1	10316737
KISJ183904.97+470905.8	279.770708	47.151611	0.000	0.000	0	21.745	0.160	-7	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	0
KISJ183904.98+471902.8	279.770750	47.317444	12.859	0.001	-9	11.694	0.001	-9	10.531	0.001	-9	10.252	0.001	-9	10.519	0.001	-9	0
KISJ183905.04+472525.8	279.771000	47.423833	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	21.540	0.178	-1	19.505	0.054	1	0.000	0.000	0	0
KISJ183915.68+472135.5	279.815333	47.359861	15.487	0.003	-1	14.960	0.001	-1	14.315	0.001	-1	13.880	0.001	-1	14.024	0.002	-1	10250986
KISJ183915.74+472239.7	279.815583	47.377694	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0	21.466	0.168	-1	21.016	0.197	1	0.000	0.000	0	0
KISJ183915.77+472054.0	279.815708	47.348333	19.701	0.055	-1	18.809	0.015	-1	18.130	0.011	-1	17.598	0.012	-1	17.759	0.020	-1	10250987
KISJ183916.02+473046.6	279.816750	47.512944	18.102	0.015	-1	17.489	0.006	-1	16.667	0.004	-1	16.158	0.005	-1	16.317	0.000	-1	10382114
KISJ183916.12+472412.8	279.817167	47.403556	19.686	0.054	-1	18.102	0.000	-1	17.000	0.005	-1	16.390	0.005	-1	16.613	0.009	-1	10316799
KISJ183916.16+472140.4	279.817333	47.361222	16.751	0.006	-1	16.443	0.003	-1	15.871	0.003	-1	15.459	0.003	-1	15.597	0.005	-1	10250990
KISJ183916.23+472143.4	279.817625	47.362056	19.078	0.032	1	18.633	0.012	1	18.026	0.010	-1	17.560	0.011	-1	17.720	0.019	-1	0
KISJ183916.33+472123.7	279.818042	47.356583	19.360	0.041	-1	18.687	0.013	-1	17.957	0.010	-1	17.428	0.010	-1	17.601	0.017	-1	10250993
KISJ183916.35+472130.3	279.818125	47.358417	20.833	0.144	-1	18.616	0.012	-1	17.088	0.005	-1	15.156	0.003	-1	16.070	0.007	-1	0
KISJ183916.40+471515.6	279.818333	47.254333	15.715	0.003	-1	14.878	0.001	-1	14.131	0.001	-1	13.675	0.001	-1	13.812	0.002	-1	10184560
KISJ183916.47+471621.6	279.818625	47.272667	18.240	0.017	-1	16.727	0.004	-1	15.673	0.002	-1	15.065	0.002	-1	15.274	0.004	-1	10184561
KISJ183916.79+471239.7	279.819958	47.211028	20.413	0.100	-1	20.341	0.049	-2	19.823	0.041	-1	19.318	0.046	1	19.494	0.077	-1	10184563
KISJ183916.83+472018.8	279.820125	47.338556	19.927	0.066	-1	19.143	0.018	-1	18.330	0.013	-1	17.758	0.013	-1	18.000	0.023	-1	10250996